UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/502,479	07/23/2004	Patrick Wuthrich	SERVIER 427 PCT	4008
25666 7590 04/21/2010 THE FIRM OF HUESCHEN AND SAGE SEVENTH FLOOR, KALAMAZOO BUILDING 107 WEST MICHIGAN AVENUE KALAMAZOO, MI 49007			EXAMINER	
			MERCIER, MELISSA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No. 10/502,479	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination WUTHRICH ET AL.	
	ROBERT A. WAX	Art Unit 1615	

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revie	ew filed <u>3/23/2010</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s): 	d a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurred ☐ The request does not include reasons why a rev ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Predother:	iew is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run fro the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interference held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 5 brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decis running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichev appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based up of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	re is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal sion, or the balance of the two-month time period er is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the clait Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u>. Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u>. Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-23</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u>. 	m(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been had Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remain applicant at this time.	neld. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by ap	
All participants:	
(1) <u>/ROBERT A. WAX/</u> .	(3) <u>Melissa Mercier</u> .
(2) <u>Michael P. Woodward</u> .	(4)